Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's idea of balanced and objective coverage is to invite Senator Kerry to appear on the show and respond to the lies and propaganda that is being perpetuated by them. In reality, Sinclair's past record has shown a clear political agenda. A documentary based not on factual knowledge, but questionable rumors is hardly a news item, and therefore should not be billed

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.